

COPY FOR IB

Rec'd PCT/PTO 14 JAN 2005

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY REC'D

NOV 2004

PCT

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference OPP020536KR	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/KR2002/001782	International filing date (day/month/year) 19 SEPTEMBER 2002 (19.09.2002)	Priority date (day/month/year) 19 JULY 2002 (19.07.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC7 G02F 1/1337		
Applicant SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. et al		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.



2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.

☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of _____ sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I ☒ Basis of the report
- II ☐ Priority
- III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
- V ☒ Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI ☐ Certain documents cited
- VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
- VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 17 FEBRUARY 2004 (17.02.2004)	Date of completion of this report 10 NOVEMBER 2004 (10.11.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/KR  Korean Intellectual Property Office 920 Dunsan-dong, Seo-gu, Daejeon 302-701, Republic of Korea Facsimile No. 82-42-472-7140	Authorized officer LEE, Jong Joo  Telephone No. 82-42-481-8192

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/KR2002/001782

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

- ☒ the international application as originally filed
- ☐ the description:
pages _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____
- ☐ the claims:
pages _____, as originally filed
pages _____, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____
- ☐ the drawings:
pages _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
pages _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language English which is

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☒ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages _____
- ☐ the claims, Nos. _____
- ☐ the drawings, sheets _____

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item I and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION

International application No.

PCT/KR2002/001782

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims 1-17	YES
	Claims	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1-17	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-17	YES
	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Reference is made to the following documents :

D1 : KR 10-1999-79365 A

D2 : KR 10-2000-11230 A

Novelty

The invention as defined in the claims is a liquid crystal display which includes a first cutout pattern and a second cutout pattern wherein width of the domains is equal to or less than 30 microns.

None of the cited prior art describes LCD which have cutout patterns where the width of the domains is equal to or less than 30 microns.

Therefore claims 1-17 fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

Inventive Step

D1 describes a multi-domain VA-LCD wherein pixel electrode and common electrode are partitioned using partitioning members. The pixel electrode and common electrode respectively have slit patterns for partitioning.

D2 describes a multi-domain LCD wherein the pixel electrode is partitioned by forming slit cutout pattern.

The inventive step of claims 1-17 seems to rely on the upper and lower substrates which have domain partitioning members respectively. But in D1, both common electrode and pixel electrode have domain partitioning members. In D2, slit patterns are used for domain partitioning.

The above citations when combined as would be obvious to a person skilled in the art, disclose all of the features of the claims.

Therefore claims 1-17 do not fulfill the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

Industrial Applicability

Claims 1-17 fulfill the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.